

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,957	01/22/2002	Alain Guesdon	218155US6X	1985	
22850 7	590 03/01/2004		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S		
1940 DUKE ST ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3752	_	
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

er en				111			
	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	/ / /			
Office Action Sum	i .	/050,957	GUESDON				
Office Action Summary		aminer	Art Unit				
	l l	ristopher S. Kim	3752	···			
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication appears	on the cover sheet with th	e correspondenc ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe - Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF Status	OMMUNICATION. The provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). This communication. Than thirty (30) days, a reply within maximum statutory period will appriod for reply will, by statute, caustree months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a reply b the statutory minimum of thirty (30) bly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely rom the mailing date of this considered (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communicate	ion(s) filed on <u>22 <i>Janua</i></u>	<u>ry 2002</u> .		•			
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allow 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 11</u> is/are 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are objecte 8) □ Claim(s) are subject	is/are withdrawn fred. rejected. ed to.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s 11) The oath or declaration is o	is/are: a) ☐ accepte t any objection to the draw) including the correction is	ing(s) be held in abeyance. required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CF	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	l 120						
——— •	None of: e priority documents have priority documents have priority documents have documents and copies of the priority of international Bureau (Positice action for a list of the a claim for domestic priss included in the first secoreign language provisional claim for domestic prise priority documents.	ve been received. ve been received in Applic locuments have been rece CT Rule 17.2(a)). le certified copies not rece ority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 Intence of the specification onal application has been ority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	cation No eived in this National eived. 19(e) (to a provisional or in an Application received. 120 and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P			nary (PTO-413) Paper No(al Patent Application (PTC				

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed April 29, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmidt et al. (4,200,255).

Schmidt discloses a spray boom comprising: an end section 28a; a second section 20a; an articulation 36a; a holding device 86a, 88a.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dudley (4,634,051).

Dudley disclose a spray boom comprising an end section 11; a second section 12; an articulation 10; a holding device 47.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dudley (4,634,051) in view of Carrick (5,154,240).

Dudley disclose the limitations of the claimed invention with the exception of the ball joint. Carrick discloses a ball joint 29. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided a ball joint (at 75 or 76 of Dudley) as taught by Carrick to facilitate articulation (Carrick, column 3, lines 37-40)

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 5-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-8336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Christopher S. Kim Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

CK